GRANTS-IN-AID

- 11.1 Under Article 280 (3)(b), the Constitution requires us to make recommendations as to the principles which should govern the grants-in-aid of the revenues of the States that are in need of assistance. In addition, the Presidential Order constituting the Commission asks us to determine, "the sums to be paid to the States by way of grants-in-aid of their revenues under article 275".
- 11.2 We have already explained the manner in which the estimates of the non-plan revenue receipts and non-plan revenue expenditures of the Centre and the States were reassessed by us. Thereafter, we have made our recommendations regarding the devolution of taxes to the States. Other components of resource transfer have also been considered, e.g. additional excise duties and the grants in lieu of tax on railway passenger fares. We now bring these threads together to determine the overall "need of assistance" for grants-in-aid of the revenues of each State.
- 11.3 A comparison at this juncture with the relevant clauses of the Presidential Order constituting the Ninth Commission would be in order. The terms of reference of that Commission contained a clear directive to "adopt a normative approach in assessing the receipts and expenditures on the revenue account of the States and the Centre and, in doing so, keep in view the special problems of each State, if any, and the special requirements of the Centre." In contrast, no explicit reference to a "normative approach" figures in our terms of reference, and our assessment has been limited specifically to the non-plan revenue expenditure of the States.
- 11.4 On the other hand, what is entirely new in our terms of reference is the mention of the objective of reducing fiscal deficit in Para 4(i). We are thus required to consider the fiscal balance on revenue as well as capital accounts.
- 11.5 Clearly, any improvement in the non-plan revenue account will go to reduce fiscal deficit only if it is not offset by a corresponding deterioration in the plan revenue account and capital account. We have not gone into the question of plan outlays or the non-plan capital account. We assume that to the extent our recommendations help improve the non-plan revenue account of the Centre and the States, they would contribute to a reduction in fiscal deficit.
- post-devolution resources on the non-plan revenue account is a resource gap. This gap is ideally estimated through a full-fledged normative exercise. In that case, the comparison would be between what a State 'ought' to be raising in terms of revenues by the application of a vector of normatively determined tax rates on the relevant tax bases after specific fiscal disabilities have been taken into account and what it 'ought' to be spending in terms of desired levels of governmental services. At the other extreme is the gap that would emerge from a comparison of what a State 'does' raise in terms of revenues with what it 'does' spend, i.e. from a comparison of the historical patterns of revenues and expenditures, projected into the future.
- 11.7 The absence of an explicit mention of a 'normative approach' in our terms of reference does not debar us from

- adopting one. However, lack of availability of suitably disaggregated data on the tax bases of the States (especially relating to their quality and coverage) and the difficulties in evolving a suitable methodology under these limitations, place serious constraints on using a normative approach. We have not used a full-fledged normative methodology. However, our exercises do contain relevant normative and prescriptive considerations as indicated in Chapters III and IV.
- 11.8 Views of the States on the principles that ought to be followed in determining grants-in-aid are arrayed in a broad spectrum. Gujarat has suggested that no grants-in-aid should be given for covering post-devolution revenue gaps. Madhya Pradesh, on the other hand, has argued not only for covering this gap, but increasing its scope to include the entire 'fiscal gap'. Kerala has advocated an effective use of the grants-in-aid mechanism to rectify horizontal fiscal imbalances. Goa suggested that a built-in buoyancy should be provided for in the grants. Maharashtra has indicated that, in its view, the last three Commissions have progressively increased the ratio of grants to devolution, and that this trend needs to be arrested. Some States, e.g., Rajasthan and Manipur, have favoured linking grants to achieving a reduction in disparities in the availability of administrative and social services, not merely in terms of revenues, but in physical or real terms.
- 11.9 Grants-in-aid of revenues to cover post-devolution assessed deficits constitute only a component of our overall recommendations regarding grants-in-aid. The provision for devolution of 7.5 per cent of the net proceeds of Union excise duties according to assessed deficits makes for a built-in buoyancy in transfers to cover deficits.
- 11.10 Table 1 gives the year-wise pre-devolution surplus/deficit profile of the States, when their assessed expenditures on non-plan revenue account are posited against their own revenue receipts. In 1995-96, only Haryana and Maharashtra emerge with a pre-devolution surplus. The position of some of the other States improves in the succeeding years. By 1999-2000, six of the non-special category States have a pre-devolution surplus, viz. Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Punjab and Tamil Nadu.
- 11.11 Grants-in-aid that are meant for covering assessed deficits on non-plan revenue account of the States, are calculated after considering transfers to the States on account of (i) devolution of income tax and Union excise duties (ii) share in additional excise duties, and (iii) share in grants in lieu of tax on railway passenger fares. As indicated in Para 11.9 above, the devolution of taxes is inclusive of 7.5 per cent of the net proceeds of Union excise duties, which are devolved on the basis of deficits as they emerge after the distribution of 40 per cent of the net proceeds of Union excise duties alongwith the devolution of income tax according to the formula given in Chapter V, and the transfers on account of additional excise duties and grants in lieu of tax on railway passenger fares, according to the distributive criteria given in Chapters VI and VII, respectively.

50

Table: 1
Pre-Devolution Non-Plan Revenue Surplus/Deficit: 1995-2000

	To Dovolation Hair Haveinge Sulpins/Delicit. 1993-2000					(Rs. lakhs)
State	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1999-00	Total 1995-00
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
Andhra Pradesh	- 321410	- 318618	- 308220	- 295375	- 275477	- 1519100
Arunachal Pradesh	- 28141	- 30431	- 32823	- 35241	- 37567	- 164203
Assam	-136545	- 146239	- 155413	- 164177	- 171676	- 774050
Bihar	- 392855	- 415431	- 435901	- 457733	- 476647	- 2178567
Goa	- 11994	- 12216	- 12181	- 11990	- 11519	- 59900
Gujarat	- 16920	6643	38221	76025	122434	226403
Haryana	28225	44062	65490	89120	119721	346618
Himachal Pradesh	- 80363	- 85201	- 89686	- 93920	- 96924	- 446094
Jammu & Kashmir	- 122660	- 131264	- 140050	- 148835	- 156974	- 699783
Karanataka	- 1492	30279	73087	120662	181413	403949
Kerala	- 103000	- 94036	- 79112	- 60726	- 36578	- 373452
Madhya Pradesh	- 151922	- 145870	- 141796	- 137000	- 125004	- 701592
Maharashtra	88221	145166	216694	298984	391711	1140776
Manipur	- 34817	- 37422	- 40196	- 42994	- 45805	- 201234
Meghalaya	- 32471	- 34562	- 36600	- 38772	- 40341	- 182746
Mizoram	- 29378	- 31669	- 33901	- 36909	- 38383	- 170240
Nagaland	- 45216	- 49193	- 53385	- 58022	- 62283	- 268099
Orissa	- 156179	- 171271	- 183169	- 195235	- 209400	- 915254
Punjab	- 46152	- 43732	- 28618	- 20180	185	- 138497
Rajasthan	- 160648	- 157023	- 149205	- 143070	- 121009	- 730955
Sikkim	- 11603	- 12426	- 13194	- 13983	- 14781	- 65987
Tamil Nadu	- 150330	- 117248	- 72918	- 24137	34690	- 329943
Tripura	- 48103	- 51717	- 55861	- 59316	- 62588	- 277585
Uttar Pradesh	- 612203	- 633492	- 639943	- 642133	- 624764	- 3152535
West Bengal	- 211367	- 215035	- 203306	- 194457	- 176314	- 1000479
Total (Net)	- 2789323	- 2707946	- 2511986	- 2289414	- 1933880	- 12232549
Deficit	- 2905769	- 2934096	- 2905478	- 2874205	- 2784034	- 14403582
Surplus	116446	226150	393492	584791	850154	2171033

11.12 After taking into account the transfers pertaining to taxes and duties indicated in the previous paragraph, some States still emerge with residual deficits. We recommend grants-in-aid, to be given to the States under the substantive portion of Article 275(1), equal to the amount of these deficits as estimated for each of the years during 1995-96 to 1999-2000. These amounts have been specified in **Table 2**.

11.13 It may be observed that no State has a post-devolution deficit on the non-plan revenue account in the terminal year. The total amount of grant, on account of non-plan revenue deficit for the period 1995-2000, is Rs. 7,582.68 crores. It may be noted that the dependence of States on the deficit grants declines in successive years. This pattern applies to each of the States, indicating that their budgetary position on the non-plan revenue account keeps improving over the years thereby changing their balance on the non-plan revenue account from deficit to surplus as indicated in **Table 3**.

11.14 In addition to the deficit grants, we have also recommended grants for upgradation of standards of

administration, grants meant for local bodies consequent upon the Constitution Amendment Acts 73 and 74, and grants for special problems. Grants have also been recommended for meeting expenditure relating to calamity relief. These grants have been discussed in the relevant Chapters.

11.15 Total estimated transfers to the States for the period 1995-2000, on account of transfers relating to taxes and duties and all grants, are given in Table 4. For the five year period from 1995-2000, the estimated amount of devolution is Rs.1,84,457 crores. In addition, Rs.19,986 crores and Rs.1900 crores are the estimated amounts of transfers pertaining to the additional excise duties and grants in lieu of tax on railway passenger fares respectively. The total transfer on account of taxes and duties thus amounts to Rs.2,06,343 crores. The overall transfers recommended by us add to an estimated amount of Rs. 2,26,643.30 crores. The estimated position of the Central Government on the non-plan revenue account after the above mentioned transfers to States is given at Annexure XI.1.

Table: 2
Non-Plan Revenue Grants: 1995-2000

(Rs crores) Total 1995-2000 1997-98 1998-99 1999-00 1995-96 1996-97 State 6. 7. 2. 3. 4. 5. 1. 0.00 0.00 0.00 686.45 483.47 202.98 Andhra Pradesh 0.00 307.60 45.63 16.11 109.26 Arunachal Pradesh 136.60 0.00 712.03 249.94 92.08 27.81 Assam 342.20 0.00 0.00 333.06 257.72 75.34 0.00 Bihar 0.00 77.26 38.98 26.88 9.03 2.37 Goa 273.00 109.25 36.82 0.00 772.18 353.11 Himachal Pradesh 0.00 1184.13 419.05 170.85 58.84 Jammu and Kashmir 535.39 350.92 17.90 0.00 157,43 124.28 51.31 Manipur 316.42 111.89 45.19 15.51 0.00 Meghalaya 143.83 331.19 147.25 117.60 48.79 17.55 0.00 Mizoram 0.00 529.78 Nagaland 233.04 188.46 79.63 28.65 371.74 192.87 133.35 38.34 7.18 0.00 Orissa 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 33.45 33.45 Rajasthan 105.69 48.05 37.45 15.06 5.13 0.00 Sikkim 24.89 0.00 488.78 218.92 172.98 71.99 Tripura 0.00 982.00 0.00 0.00 683.40 298.60 Uttar Pradesh 258.76 0.00 7582.68 4005.71 2541.06 777.15 Total

Table: 3

Non-Plan account of States after devolution of Taxes and Duties and Deficit Grants

(Rs crores) Total State 1995-96 1996-97 1997-98 1998-99 1999-00 1995-00 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Andhra Pradesh 0.00 0.00 21.57 571.87 1227.95 1821.39 Arunachal Pradesh 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 25.60 25.60 Assam 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 35.67 35.67 Bihar 0.00 0.00 188.50 589.66 1071.68 1849.84 Goa 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.32 2.32 Guiarat 1047.23 1454.32 1965.85 2557.82 3253.76 10278.98 Haryana 669.75 882.90 1159.69 1464.35 1844.45 6021.14 Himachal Pradesh 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 55.05 55.05 Jammu & Kashmir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 91.00 91.00 Karnataka 1503.16 2037.76 2713.37 3459.62 4360.22 14074.13 Kerala 62.81 307.99 634.77 1012.62 1464.29 3482.48 Madhya Pradesh 1183.31 793.36 1600.07 2058.87 2623.97 8259.58 Maharashtra 2839.94 3681.81 4708.10 5871.00 7166.75 24267.60 Manipur 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 28.21 28.21 Meghalaya 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 23.54 23.54 Mizoram 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 27.16 27.16 Nagaland 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 46.17 46.17 Orissa 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.61 2.61 Punjab 18.63 110.22 338.27 506.75 801.57 1775.44 Rajasthan 0.00 199.20 529.31 865.90 1384.75 2979.16 Sikkim 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 7.89 7.89 Tamilnadu 408.33 1011.04 1764.70 2591.63 3547.41 9323.11 Tripura 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 38.74 38.74 Uttar Pradesh 0.00 0.00 49.36 904.81 2029.15 2983.32 West Bengal 23.27 290.05 753.73 1220.72 1812.29 4100.06 Total 7366.48 11158.60 16427.29 23675.62 32972.20 91600.19

Table 4
Total Transfer to States: 1995-2000

(Rs. crores) Taxes and Duties Grants-in-Aid Total State -Transfer Basic Additional Income Tax on Total Non-Plan Upgrada-Special Local Relief Total (col.6+12)Tax Excise **Duties of** Railway (col. 2 Revenue tion **Problems Bodies** Expendi-(col. 7 **Duties Excise** Passenger Deficit to ture to Fares col. 5) col. 11) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Andhra Pradesh 5313.06 9291.43 1562.90 158.55 16325.94 686.45 88.88 65.00 424.94 490.33 1755.60 18081.54 Arunachal Pradesh 106.70 1232.44 20.79 0.10 1360.03 307.60 18.31 50.00 4.63 27.79 408.33 1768.36 Assam 1747.38 4794.51 496.25 26.00 7064.14 712.03 146.86 60.00 147.56 197.46 1263.91 8328.05 Bihar 8072.21 13465.35 1587.69 177.20 23302.45 333.06 183.13 57.50 574.28 205.14 1353.11 24655.56 Goa 112.98 361.01 46.37 3.70 524.06 77.26 3.79 7.00 5.91 4.23 98.19 622.25 Gujarat 2539.47 4146.22 1198.16 131.10 8014.95 0.00 0.00 50.00 259.47 551.17 860.64 8875.59 Haryana 777.03 1268.66 472.87 36.40 2554.96 0.00 0.00 40.00 99.22 98.93 238.15 2793.11 Himachal Pradesh 441.87 3180.97 118.92 2.05 3743.81 772.18 30.03 75.00 34.23 106.41 1017.85 4761.66 Jammu & Kashmir 688.53 5031.24 171.08 13.85 5904.70 1184.13 58.77 47.00 49.68 77.80 1417.38 7322.08 Kamataka 3351.02 5471.25 1147.99 64.38 10034.64 0.00 0.00 29.00 291.96 165.23 486.19 10520.83 2432.14 3970.98 Kerala 747.48 66.40 7217.00 0.00 29.83 52.00 204.24 218.74 504.81 7721.81 Madhya Pradesh 5203.22 8495.34 1446.19 130.75 15275.50 0.00 146.37 60.00 410.43 201.67 818.47 16093.97 Maharashtra 3844.98 6277.74 2403.72 333.40 12859.84 0.00 0.00 100.00 479.96 269.28 849.24 13709-08 Manipur 177.00 1472.91 39.37 0.35 1689.63 350.92 24.74 50.00 11.54 9.79 446.99 2136.62 Meghalya 177.62 1318.74 37.57 0.65 1534.58 316.42 11.72 5.00 10.12 11.01 354.27 1888.85 Mizoram 93.52 1289.04 15.79 0.02 1398.37 331.19 7.13 57.00 3.32 5.00 403.64 1802.01 Nagaland 113.61 2053.64 27.38 2.75 2197.38 529.78 23.96 30.00 5.21 6.71 595.66 2793.04 Orissa 2821.29 5260.99 668.53 32.60 8783.41 371.74 86.79 51.00 220.10 193.51 923.14 9706.55 Punjab 917.00 1497.19 683.92 62.30 3160.41 0.00 81.31 ** 133.95 213.80 429.06 3589.47 Rajasthan 3484.09 5712.80 973.92 84.45 10255.26 33.45 79.87 70.00 255,40 706.89 1145.61 11400.87 Sikkim 79.08 472.20 10.59 0.20 562.07 105.69 4.56 5.50 2.48 18.59 136.82 698.89 Tamil Nadu 4165.71 6801.40 1532.73 122,70 12622.54 0.00 40.84 60.00 402.86 234.33 738.03 13360.57 Tripura 237.25 2030.65 57.16 0.75 2325.81 488.78 13.90 12.00 14.97 17.75 547.40 2873.21 Uttar Pradesh 11179.07 19139.24 2912.56 295.80 33526.67 982.00 167.54 108.00 880.70 494.00 2632.24 36158.91 West Bengal 4689.17 7656.06 1606.07 153.55 14104.85 0.00 114,17 105.00 453.77 875.57 202.63 14980.42 Total 62765.00 121692.00 19986.00 1900.00 206343.00 7582.68 1362.50 1246.00 5380.93 4728.19 20300.30 226643.30

^{**} Has been dealt with in Chapter XII para 12.40